

# St Paul Malmesbury Without Parish

Report #05.1

## May Planning Summary

Applications Determined			
No	Address	Description	Reference
684	The Bungalow, Milbourne Lane West, SN16 9JA	Extension to the west side of the property Submission: <span style="color: green;">No objection</span> Decision: <span style="color: red;">Withdrawn by applicant 16/05/23</span>	PL/2023/01579
686	Westbreeze, Milbourne Lane, SN16 9JA	Variation of Condition 2 (approved plans 20/07789/FUL) to allow for additional ground floor area to rear of property Submission: <span style="color: green;">No objection</span> Decision: <span style="color: blue;">Approve with conditions on 28/04/23</span>	PL/2023/09049

### New Applications

No	Address	Officer	Description	Reference	Consultation Deadline
681	Frith House, Mill Lane, Corston, SN16 0HH	Adam Madge	Erection of replacement lean-to addition (partially retrospective) on existing storage building on paddock land	PL/2023/01309	23 <sup>rd</sup> May
690	Land at Burton Hill, SN16 9GQ	Adrian Walker	Variation of Condition 3 of 19/07095/REM to allow for alterations to the carports 1-2 & 5-8	PL/2023/03244	1 <sup>st</sup> Jun
691	Land off A429, north of Waitrose, SN16 9NZ	Callan Powers	Erection of a building for mixed agricultural uses including the storage of machinery and periodic housing of livestock	PL/2023/03637	15 <sup>th</sup> Jun
692	26 Milbourne Park, SN16 9JE	Stefan Galyas	Retrospective proposal for a car port	PL/2023/03758	9 <sup>th</sup> Jun

### Comments

**681** Following an exchange of correspondence between the case officer and Cllr Smith, and a subsequent discussion with the Corston councillors, I suggest the council withdraws its 'call in' subject to confirmation that the following written comments from the case office "I could not support the change of use of the land and any development relating to the access track" are somehow conditioned in any consent. Further the council needs to see the exchange of emails between the agent and case office relating to the material to be used on the extension. I suggest a comment incorporating these matters.

**690** Following 'on site' discussions I suspect the reason stated for the need to change the condition is inaccurate. I believe the change to the wording as suggested by GreenSquareAccord could compromise the minimum parking requirements and encourage on-street parking.

**691** The rationale for the proposal appears very plausible but given the previous history of the site I suggest working closely with MTC to formulate a submission.

**692** This proposal is already subject to an enforcement order that questions whether planning would be forthcoming if an application had been submitted. I suggest an objection based on the structure being out of character with any other dwellings in the estate and presenting a jarring visual impact at the entrance to the small housing development.